TATE OF CALIFORNIA

TEPARTMENT OF CAJIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

TREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

THE REAL PROPERTY OF CAJIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

THE REAL PROPERTY OF CAJIFORNIA HIGHW

OFFICER

NSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this orm is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer adividual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information an be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, complishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This arm can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

the tax and the complete and port of porton, and the cappiement co	an be nandwillen i des	sirea.		
PE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Minformal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
Correction Report Yes No BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	mh	DATE &	25-Q
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED NONE	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publica			∑ Yes	☐ No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Pla Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? (1) Is this philosophy accurated to: (2) Is this philosophy accurated to: (3) Is this philosophy accurated to: (4) Is this philosophy accurated to: (5) In this philosophy accurated to: (6) In this philosophy accurated to: (7) In this philosophy accurated to: (8) In this philosophy accurated to: (9) In this philosophy accurated to: (10) In this philosophy accurated to: (11) In this philosophy accurated to: (12) In this philosophy accurated to: (13) In this philosophy accurated to: (14) In this philosophy accurated to: (15) In this philosophy accurated to: (16) In this philosophy accurated to: (17) In this philosophy accurated to: (18) In this philosophy accurated to: (18) In this philosophy accurated to: (19) In this philosophy accurated to: (10) In this philosophy accurated to: (11) In this philosophy accurated to: (12) In this philosophy accurated to: (13) In this philosophy accurated to: (14) In this philosophy accurated to: (15) In this philosophy accurated to: (16) In this philosophy accurated to: (17) In this philosophy accurated to: (18) In	Department's philosophy anning Operations Manual	and policy for EIM I, and HPM 50.5,	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to: (a) Subordinates.				
			⊠ Yes	□ No
(b) Public safety agencies.			X Yes	☐ No
(c) Emergency service providers.			X Yes	☐ No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM				☐ No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and condition	ns?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed persor	nnel?		X Yes	☐ No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and su	pport agencies?		X Yes	☐ No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?			X Yes	☐ No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			X Yes	☐ No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and ob	ojectives?		▼ Yes	☐ No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate	e with appropriate authorit	ty?	✓ Yes	☐ No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plan	s?	H-sper	X Yes	☐ No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		X Yes	☐ No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary suppl	lies and equipment?			
PETTY CASH FUND , CAL - CAR	D AND REIMBUR.	SIBLE SERVIC	es.	-7
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specif	īc forms?			☐ No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication an agencies, Division and headquarters?	nd coordination with other	Areas, allied	X Yes	☐ No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?			X Yes	☐ No
		1101		

4REA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(T)	13 111	5 55.5, Emergency includent Guide, readily available?				X Yes	☐ No
(3)		e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the comment of t	use of HPG 50.3, I	Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	Yes	□ No
(2)	acce	rea personnel understand their responsibilities as incidentation plance?				⊠ Yes	□ No
(1)		e other Area employees received familiarization training				X Yes	☐ No
b. Hav		uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u		nergen	cies?	X Yes	□No
		an awareness of local training requirements?				⊠ Yes	□ No
TRAIN			EVALUATED YES		ACTION REQUIRED NONE	CORRECTED	
		Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?	with HPM 50.1, E 0.5, Civil Disturbar	mergei nce Pla	ncy Incident Inning and	✓ Yes	□No
(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?				X Yes	☐ No
	(d)	Do they work?				🔀 Yes .	☐ No
	(c)	Are they current?				✓ Yes	☐ No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?				X Yes	☐ No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban	Emergency Incide ce Planning and C	ent Ma Operati	nagement Planning ons Manual?	X Yes	☐ No
(7)) Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?			27	X Yes	☐ No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.				✓ Yes	☐ No
	(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers				X Yes	☐ No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emerge	ncy se	rvice providers.	✓ Yes	 No
	(a)	_				✓ Yes	☐ No
(6	6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform					
	(k)		and other emerge	ncv pro	ocedures is required	Yes	
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.				Yes	□ No
-	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.				Yes	No
	(h)						No No
	(g)					Yes	No
	(e) (f)	Earthquakes.				X Yes	∐ No
	(d) (a)					X Yes	□ No
						X Yes	☐ No
	(b) Bomb incident procedures. (c) Fires.						☐ No
		Are there employee and property protection reference	s in the command	l's EAP	?	X Yes X Yes	☐ No
JHP 45		Are there employee and property and the first the control of the c					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	🔀 Yes	☐ No		
	. Are reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, managers, and supervisors?					□No
REPO	ORT	TING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED NONE	CORRECTED	
(6)		Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance w Management Planning and Operations Manual?	vith HPM 50.1, Emer	gency Incident	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(5))	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			⊠ Yes	□No
(4))	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			⊠ Yes	□No
(3)	i) ,	Are existing plans current?			⊠ Yes	☐ No
(2)	?)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencie	es who have EIM res	sponsibility?	⊠ Yes	□No
(1		Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency In Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planni			⊠ Yes	□No
c. Ha	las ers	the command developed written emergency incident plans to connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to e	o provide for effective mergency incidents	e use of departmental ?		☐ No
(2	2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to co	nduct mutual aid co	ntingency planning?	X Yes	☐ No
(1	1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relati	ed committees, orga	inizations, or councils?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisor their counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good	working relationships	✓ Yes	□No
(2	2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?			✓ Yes	☐ No
(1		Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and Services personnel?	d regional state Offic	e of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
		es the commander maintain a working relationship with perso artments, state and county traffic engineers and highway dep		iff's offices, police	✓ Yes	☐ No
REL	ΑТ	IONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
(4	4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?		🔀 Yes	☐ No
(3	3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agend	ies and EMS provid	ers?	🛚 Yes	□No
(2	2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ers attended Area tra	nining to discuss their	⊠ Yes	☐ No
((1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pub providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	olic safety agencies a	and emergency service	⊠ Yes	□ No
c. F	las	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			⊠ Yes	☐ No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?		11	⊠ Yes	☐ No
((7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haza Departmental Training Manual?	ırdous materials requ	uíred by HPM 70.13,	⊠ Yes	□No
((6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receiv emergency-related skills?	ed specialized trainir	ng or possess special	🗓 Yes	☐ No
((5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	ms and their use?	10-11 (1-11)	🛚 Yes	☐ No

REPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46,	Reporting of Highway Cor	nditions?	⊠ Yes	□ No
(3)	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?				
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E	E) prepared?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, rele the County Board of Supervisors and the County He	eases, and dumping of ha	zardous material to	X Yes	□No
. EMER	GENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED NONE	CORRECTED	
a. List	problems Area experienced in exercising EIM.				
	AVAILABILITY OF PATROL VEHICLES.				
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent re	ecurrences of problems?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-c	command?		X Yes	☐ No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes m	ade to Area plans?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	arded through the chain-o	f-command?	X Yes	☐ No
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individu	uals or agencies?		⊠ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts t	to resolve the issues?	and server to recover onnelli		☐ No
	(b) If not resolved has the Division chief been notified a	e required?		X Yes	□ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Grapevine Insp. Fac.	Central	16
EVALUATED BY	-	DATE
S. A. Netzer		10/04/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

				1331125		
TYPE OF E		mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UI	PREQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
☐ Yes	s ☑ No	BY	S. A. Netzer		10/04/20	08
1. EME	RGENCY INCIDENT MAN	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. A	re Area employees familiar	with various departmental publica	tions which provide for Elf	M planning?	✓ Yes	□No
as		nave a clear understanding of the I ergency Incident Management Pla nd Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conve	yed to:				
	(a) Subordinates.				✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Public safety agenc	es.			✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Emergency service	providers.			✓ Yes	□No
c. Is	an employee assigned to c	develop and routinely update EIM	plans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Is the employee familiar	with local resources and condition	s?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Is input obtained from un	iformed and nonuniformed persor	nnel?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Is there adequate liaison	with emergency response and su	pport agencies?		✓ Yes	□No
d. Ha	ve emergency incident pla	ns been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do plans include comma	nd-specific information?			☑ Yes	□No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear	statement of their purpose and ob	jectives?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is there an assignment	ent of responsibility commensurate	e with appropriate authority	y?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are there checklists	to assist in implementing the plan	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(c) is there a method for	notifying off-duty personnel?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d) What methods are us	sed for acquiring necessary suppl	ies and equipment?			
	H-III					
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS	and CHP and/or command-specif	ic forms?	100010	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Do the plans have information agencies, Division and he	ation regarding communication ar adquarters?	d coordination with other	Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Are there plans for hazard	d-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

_							
		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
		✓ Yes	□No				
=		(c)		✓ Yes	□ No		
		(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
		(e)	Radiation incidents			✓ Yes	□No
		(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
-		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	and other emergency pro	cedures is required.	☐ Yes	□No
	(6) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	ation:			
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers	onnel and material resou	rces.	✓ Yes	□No
_		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□No
2.	TRAII	IING		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
	a. Is	there a	an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
	b. Ha	ve rec	uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have	e other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission acceptance?						□No
	(3)	Have	e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the u	use of HPG 50.3, Emerge	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No
				12			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS fo	rms and their use?	300 300	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	✓ Yes	□No			
_	(7)	ed by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No		
_		✓ Yes	□No			
	c. Has	interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of purpoviders to explain the Department's role in EIM?	iblic safety agencies and	l emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provice role?	ders attended Area traini	ng to discuss their	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agen	icles and EMS providers	?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?		☐ Yes	☑ No
3. I	RELAT	IONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a	a. Doe depa	s the commander maintain a working relationship with perso artments, state and county traffic engineers and highway de	onnel from local sheriff's partment personnel?	offices, police	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county ar Services personnel?	nd regional state Office o	f Emergency	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?		5	☐Yes	☑ No
b	. Does with	s the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisitheir counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good wo	rking relationships	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-rela	ted committees, organiz	ations, or councils?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) I	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to co	onduct mutual aid contin	gency planning?	☐ Yes	✓ No
C.	Has t	he command developed written emergency incident plans to onnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to	to provide for effective usemergency incidents?	se of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) A	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency I Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plann	ncident Management Pl ing and Operations Man	anning and ual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) V	Vere the plans developed in coordination with allied agenci	es who have EIM respor	nsibility?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3) A	are existing plans current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	o plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5) D	o plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6) H	cy Incident	✓ Yes	□No		
. RE	PORT	ING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are re manag	porting and documentation requirements for emergency in gers, and supervisors?		ne Area commander,	☑ Yes	□No
	(1) A	re unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	Unusual Occurrence?	***************************************	✓ Yes	□No
_						

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

121								
	(2) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?							
	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?						□No	
		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) p	repared?		✓ Yes	□No	
		(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releas the County Board of Supervisors and the County Healt		of hazardous material to	✓ Yes	□No	
5. E	MER	GEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)	
а	List	t pro	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM.					
	(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recu	rrences of proble	ms?	☐ Yes	□No	
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-con	nmand?		☐ Yes	□No	
		(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made	e to Area plans?		☐ Yes	□No	
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	ed through the ch	ain-of-command?	☐ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Hav	e there been repeated problems with specific individual	s or agencies?		☐ Yes	☑ No	
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to r	esolve the issues	?	☐ Yes	□No	
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as r	equired?		☐ Yes	□No	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Sonora Area	Central Division	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sgt. R.S. Clamp		10/28/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

		LUATION al Evaluation		nal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE ()4/()1/20()9	(a)		
FOLLOW Y		REQUIRED NO		☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW ASCHSEC	FORLE AYALA	IO S	3005
1. EM	IER	GENCY INCIDE	NT MANA	AGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	RSC 09/04/2008	Yes	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	Area employee	s familiar	with various departmental publica	itions which provide for El	M planning?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	as	outlined in HPM	50.1, Em	ave a clear understanding of the l ergency Incident Management Pla nd Operations Manual?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls this philosop	hy conve	ved to:				
		(a) Subordina	ites.				✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Public safe	ety agenc	es.	100		✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Emergency	y service	oroviders.			✓ Yes	□No
C.	ls a	n employee ass	signed to c	evelop and routinely update EIM	plans?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is the employee	e familiar	with local resources and condition	ns?			□No
	(2)	Is input obtaine	ed from ur	iformed and nonuniformed perso	nnel?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there adequa	ate liaison	with emergency response and su	upport agencies?		☑ Yes	□No
d.	Hav	ve emergency in	cident pla	ns been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do plans includ	de comma	nd-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Do plans conta	in a clear	statement of their purpose and o	bjectives?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is there an	assignm	ent of responsibility commensurat	te with appropriate author	ity?		□No
		(b) Are there of	checklists	to assist in implementing the plan	ns?		✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Is there a r	method fo	r notifying off-duty personnel?				□No
		(d) What meth	nods are ι	sed for acquiring necessary supp	lies and equipment?			
					×			
	(3)	Do the plans re	efer to ICS	and CHP and/or command-spec	cific forms?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do the plans ha agencies, Divis		nation regarding communication a eadquarters?	and coordination with othe	r Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are there plans	s for haza	d-specific incidents?			✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		`					
		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references i	n the command's EAP	?		□No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
		(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	□No
		(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			Yes	☑ No
		(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			☑ Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	nd other emergency p	rocedures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information:						
	(a) Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No		
	(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers.			✓ Yes	□ No		
	(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.			✓ Yes	□No		
	(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation.			☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(7) Does the need for each plan still exists?				✓ Yes	□No	
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, I and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?				□No
	(8)	Doe	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?		***************************************	Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict wanagement Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?	with HPM 50.1, Emerg 0.5, Civil Disturbance I	ency Incident Planning and	☐ Yes	□No
2. TI	RAINI	ING		RSC 09/04/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED N/A	
a.	ls th	nere	an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
b.	Hav	e red	quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emerg	encies?		□No
	(1) Have other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?					√ Yes	□No
	(2) Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission acceptance?					✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Hav	e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Eme	ergency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?	***		✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS form		✓ Yes	□No	
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receive emergency-related skills?	d specialized training or	possess special	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in hazar Departmental Training Manual?	dous materials required	by HPM 70.13,	Yes	□No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□No
	. На	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of publiproviders to explain the Department's role in EIM?	ic safety agencies and e	mergency service	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provider role?	rs attended Area training	to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?					□No
	(4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?					□No
3. F	3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES RSC 09/04/2008 No)
a	a. Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?					□No
	(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?				✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?					□No
b	 Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies? 				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relate	ed committees, organiza	tions, or councils?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to co	nduct mutual aid conting	ency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
С		s the command developed written emergency incident plans to sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to e		e of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Ir Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plannir	ncident Management Plang and Operations Manu	anning and ual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencie	es who have EIM respon	sibility?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?		AUCKII O	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?					□No
4. R	EPOF	RTING PROCEDURES	RSC 09/04/2008	NO REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
а		reporting and documentation requirements for emergency inc nagers, and supervisors?	cidents understood by th	ne Area commander,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	Unusual Occurrence?		✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			✓ Yes	□No
(3)	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?				□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407	7E) prepared?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?			✓ Yes	□No
5. EMER	5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED No.				
a. Lis	a. List problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Nonc.				
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent	t recurrences of problems?	NA	Yes	□ No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-o	f-command?	i i	☐ Yes	☐ No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes	made to Area plans?	V	Yes	□No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command?			☐Yes	□No
(2)	(2) Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?			✓ Yes	□No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified	d as required?			□ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPPLEMENT

CHP 454 (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

SECTIONS	COMMENTS	
EIM Planning - 1.d.6.(d)	The current "72-hour self-sufficient operation" plan for Area could not be located.	
	ACTION: Area will draft a "72-hour self-sufficient operation" plan. The updated p	olan will be
	included as an annex in the Area Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) by March 30. 2	
218.3 Diamento a. 1. d. 9	Sonora Area Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) does not contain guidelines for	
EIM Planning - 1.d.8		intergency.
-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	Incident Management (EIM).	
	ACTION: Area EIM procedures will be drafted and incorporated in the Area SOP	ny'
	March 30, 2009,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		-
		141
B-A- Be-Anna		
Marin de 10 N/2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
	Destroy Previous Editions	

1/4

Memorandum

Date:

June 26, 2008

To:

Central Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Buttonwillow Area

File No.:

426.10857

Subject:

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION - CHP 453R - EMERGENCY

INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING - INFORMAL EVALUATION

Attached is in an Emergency Incident Management Planning Evaluation, per HPG 22.1, conducted by Sergeant Joel Brock, of the Buttonwillow Area. No follow-up correction report is required. Contact me at (661)764-5580, if you have any questions.

D. L. GREEN, Lieutenant

Area Commander

Department of California Highway Patrol AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING Evaluated By Sgt. J. R. Brock

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed in the Summary Statement. The Summary Statement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Summary can be handwritten if desired.

Type of Evaluation ∐Formal ⊠Informal	Suspense Da	ate 07/01/2008			
Follow-up Required ☐Yes ⊠No	Correction Report by	Commander's Review Date			
1. EMERGENCY INCIDEN (EIM) PLANNING	IT MANAGEMENT	Evaluated	Corrected		
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publications which provide for EIM planning?					□No
b. Does the Area common philosophy and policy f	ander have a clear unders or EIM as outlined in HPN	standing of the i /i 50.1 and HPM	Department's 1 50.5?	⊠Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy	conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates?					□No
(b) Public safety agencies?					□No
(c) Emergency service providers?					□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM plans?				⊠Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions?					□No
(2) Is input obtained fr	om uniformed and nonun	iformed person	nel?	⊠Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate I	iaison with emergency re	sponse and sup	port agencies?	⊠Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incid	ent plans been evaluated	1?		⊠Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include co	ommand-specific informa	tion?		⊠Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain a	clear statement of their p	urpose and obj	ectives?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate with appropriate authority?					□No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans?					□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?					□No

Number

Date 6/11/08

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplies and equipment? departmental channels.	Normal	
(**)		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific forms?	⊠Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and coordination with other Areas, allied agencies, Division and headquarters?	⊠Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Bomb incident procedures?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Fires?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) Flood/dam failures?	⊠Yes	□No
(e) Radiation incidents?	⊠Yes	□No
(f) Earthquakes?	⊠Yes	□No
(g) Tsunamis/coastal storms?	⊠Yes	□No
(h) Civil unrest?	⊠Yes	□No
(i) Other Area-specific emergencies?	⊠Yes	□No
(6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information:		
(a) Emergency Response Center Operations?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation?	⊠Yes	□No
(7) Does the need for each plan still exist?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1 and HPM 50.5?	⊠Yes	□No
(b) Can plans be tested?	⊠Yes	□No
(c) Are they current?	⊠Yes	□No
(d) Do they work?	⊠Yes	□No
(8) Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	⊠Yes	□No
(a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, HPM 50.5 or local plans?	⊠Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16

Onapici 10			
EMERGENCY	INCIDENT	MANAGEMENT	PLANNING

2. TRAINING	Evaluated	Action Required	Correcte	d
a. Is there an awareness of local train	ing requirements?		⊠Yes	□No
b. Have required employees been trai	ned to initiate and u	se ICS in emergencies	? ⊠Yes	□No
(1) Have other Area employees rec	eived familiarizatior	training in ICS?	⊠Yes	□No
(2) Do Area personnel understand to and their role in mission acceptance	their responsibilities ?	as incident commande	rs ⊠Yes [□No
(3) Have managers, supervisors an	d OICs been traine	d in the use of HPG 50.	3?⊠Yes	□No
(4) Is 50.3 readily available?			⊠ Yes □] No
(5) Are managers and supervisors f	amiliar with various	ICS forms and their us	e?⊠ Yes	☐ No
(6) Does the Area have a roster of e training or possess special emergen	employees who hav cy-related skills?	e received specialized	⊠ Yes	□ No
(7) Have employees been provided required by HPM 70.13?	with annual training	in hazardous materials	S ⊠ Yes	□ No
(a) Are the records of required tra	aining current?			☐ No
c. Are Area personnel trained to drive a	and operate departr	mental EIMVs?		□No
(1) Is there a list of trained drivers/o	perators in the eme	rgency plan or SOP?		□ No
d. Has interagency training pertaining t	o EIM been conduc	ted?	⊠ Yes	□ No
(1) Do Area personnel attend and pa and emergency service providers to	articipate in meeting explain the Departn	s of public safety agend nent's role in EIM?	cies Yes	□ No
(2) Have public safety agencies and Area training to discuss their role?	emergency service	providers attended	⊠ Yes	□No
(3) Do personnel participate in exerc	ises with these age	ncies/EMS providers?	☐ Yes	□ No
(4) Are exercise critiques conducted	and feedback giver	n to all participants?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	Evaluated	Action Required	Corrected	
a. Does commander regularly confer wi	th judges, prosecut	ors, public defenders?		□No
 Does he/she maintain a working r departments, state/county traffic eng 	elationship with loc ineers and highway	al sheriff's offices, polic department personnel	? X Yes	□ No
(2) Does he/she maintain a working r Office of Emergency Services perso	relationship with Co onnel?	unty and Regional State	e	□ No
(3) Is the commander a member of e	mergency organiza	tions?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Does the commander encourage Are good working relationships with their cou	a lieutenants and s interparts in allied a	upervisors to establish gencies?	⊠ Yes	□No
(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants me organizations or councils?	mbers of emergeno	cy-related committees,	⊠ Yes	□ No
				Page 3

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION
Chapter 16
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2) Have Area personnel met with all aid contingency planning?	⊠ Yes	□No		
c. Has the command developed written effective use of departmental personnel responses to emergency incidents?	emergency incident and material resource	plans to provide for ces in multi-agency	⊠ Yes	□ No
(1) Are those plans in accordance wi	th HPM 50.1 and HP	M 50.5?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
(2) Were the plans developed in coor have EIM responsibility?	⊠ Yes	□No		
(3) Are existing plans current?			□ No	
(4) Do plans provide for adequate su	pervision?		⊠ Yes	□ No
(5) Do plans conform to CHP policy?			⊠ Yes	□ No
(6) Has the command developed a w	ritten EAP in accorda	ance with HPM 50.1?	⊠ Yes	□ No
4. REPORTING PROCEDURES	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
Are reporting and documentation requ by the Area commander, managers and	stood Yes	□No		
(1) Are unusual occurrences reported	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
(2) Are major state route closures rep	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
(3) Hazardous material spills and rele	⊠ Yes	□ No		
(a) Are Hazardous material incider	⊠ Yes	□ No		
(b) Are there written procedures fo dumping of hazardous material to the and the County Health Officer?	r reporting spills, rele	eases, and	⊠ Yes	□ No
5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Evaluated	Action Required	Corrected	
a. List problems Area experienced in exe Problems in the response to emergency inc	ercising EIM. Button idents.	willow Area has not e	encountered	any
(1) Has follow-up investigation been co	☐ Yes	□ No		
(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?			Yes	□ No
(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?				☐ No
(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented and forwarded through the chain-of-command?				□ No
(2) Have there been repeated problem	s with specific individ	duals or agencies?	Yes	□ No
(a) Has the Area commander made			Yes	□ No
CHP 453R (Rev 1-96)		Page 4		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 16 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING		
(b) If not resolved, has the Division Chief been notified as required?	☐ Yes	☐ No
COMMENTS		
CHP 453R (Rev 1-96)		Page 5

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Fort Tejon	Central	430
EVALUATED BY	1000	DATE
Sgt. Brooks		03/12/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION	SUSPENSE DATE	-1 200 to 1		
☐ Formal Evaluation ☐ Informal Evaluation				
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	h.T	DATE 3-13	-500
☐ Yes ☑ No BY	10000		7-10	-03
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALŬATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publication	ons which provide for Ell	M planning?	☑ Yes	□No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the Deas outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Plant Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?			☑ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates.			☑ Yes	☐ No
(b) Public safety agencies.			☑ Yes	□ No
(c) Emergency service providers.			☑ Ýes	☐ No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM pla	ns?		☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personne	1?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and support	ort agencies?		☑ Yes	☐ No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?	7690 717110 450		☑ Yes	☐ No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and object	tives?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate w	ith appropriate authority	?	☑ Yes	☐ No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans?			☑ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?			☑ Yes	□ No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplies	and equipment?	Through pre-planning	supplies and	
equipment are obtained by quarterly requisition.				
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific fo	orms?		√ Yes	☐ No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and c agencies, Division and headquarters?	oordination with other A		☑ Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?			☑ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	Γ ⁻ h · ·					
(a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	✓ Yes	□ No				
(b) Bomb incident procedures.	✓ Yes	□ No				
(c) Fires.	✓ Yes	☐ No				
(d) Flood/dam failures.	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(e) Radiation incidents.	√ Yes	☐ No				
(f) Earthquakes.	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(g) Tsunamis/coastal storms.	☑ Yes	□No				
(h) Civil unrest.	√ Yes	☐ No				
(i) Other Area-specific emergencies.	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(j) Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	√ Yes	☐ No				
(k) For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is required	. 🗌 Yes	☐ No				
(6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information:						
(a) Emergency Response Center Operations.	☑ Yes	□ No				
(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers.	√ Yes	□ No				
(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation.	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(7) Does the need for each plan still exists?	☑ Yes	□ No				
(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	☑ Yes	□No				
(b) Can plans be tested?	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(c) Are they current?	☑ Yes	□ No				
(d) Do they work?	☑ Yes	□ No				
(8) Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	√ Yes	☐ No				
(a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, or local plans?	☑ Yes	□ No				
TRAINING EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED					
a. Is there an awareness of local training requirements?	☑ Yes					
b. Have required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies?	✓ Yes	□ No				
(1) Have other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?	✓ Yes	 No				
(2) Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission	<u>V</u> 1 63					
acceptance?	☑ Yes	□ No				
(3) Have managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide'	? 🗸 Yes	□ No				
(4) Is HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?	☑ Yes	□ No				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(5) Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?	☑ Yes	□ No				
(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?	cial ☑ Yes	□ No				
(7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13 Departmental Training Manual?	3, ☑ Yes	☐ No				
(a) Are the records of required training current?	☑ Yes	☐ No				
c. Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency ser providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	vice	□ No				
(2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss th role?	eir ☑ Yes	☐ No				
(3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?	√ Yes	☐ No				
3. RELATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED X ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED					
a. Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?	√ Yes	☐ No				
(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?	✓ Yes	☐ No				
(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	☐ Yes	☑ No				
b. Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationshi with their counterparts in allied agencies?	ps Ves	□ No				
(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or counc	ils? 🗌 Yes	☑ No				
(2) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning	? Ves	☐ No				
c. Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of department personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?	ital ☑ Yes	□ No				
(1) Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	☑ Yes	□No				
(2) Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility?	√ Yes	□ No				
(3) Are existing plans current?	√ Yes	☐ No				
(4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	☑ Yes	□No				
(5) Do plans conform to CHP policy?	✓ Yes	☐ No				
(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?	☑ Yes	☐ No				
REPORTING PROCEDURES EVALUATED X ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED					
 Are reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area comman managers, and supervisors? 	nder, V Yes	□ No				
(1) Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of Unusual Occurrence?	☑ Yes	□ No				

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?	☑ Yes	☐ No		
(3)	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?				
-	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?				
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?				
5. EMERO	ENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED			
a. List	problems Area experienced in exercising EIM.				
1	Jone				
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?	☐ Yes	□ No		
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
	b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?	☐ Yes	□No		
	c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?	Yes	⋈ No		
	a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?	☐ Yes	☐ No		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Mariposa	Central	455
EVALUATED BY	- John Marian	DATE
Sergeant J. Adkins		07/21/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EV		SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP	nal Evaluation	06/30/2008 OOMMANDER'S REVIEW	\sim	DATE	6 300 VS
	Correction Report				м.
Yes	□ No BY	& sur) Janin	8-11-	- 08
1. EMEF	RGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Ar	e Area employees familiar with various departmental publica	ations which provide for E	IM planning?	√ Yes	□ No
as	nes the Area commander have a clear understanding of the outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Playil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?			☑ Yes	□ No
(1)	Is this philosophy conveyed to:				
	(a) Subordinates.			☑ Yes	☐ No
7.4	(b) Public safety agencies.			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(c) Emergency service providers.			☑ Yes	☐ No
c. is	an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM	plans?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(1)	Is the employee familiar with local resources and condition	ns?		√ Yes	☐ No
(2)	Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed perso	nnel?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(3)	Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and s	upport agencies?		☑ Yes	□ No
d. Ha	ve emergency incident plans been evaluated?	H15.020-03-11-770-9		☑ Yes	□ No
(1)	Do plans include command-specific information?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and o	bjectives?		☑ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensura	te with appropriate author	rity?	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the pla	ns?		☑ Yes	□ No
	(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?			☑ Yes	☐ No
V AN MI	(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supp	plies and equipment?	The Area has an a	dequate amoun	t of local
	supplies and equipment on hand as well as Division	contacts for additional su	pplies and equipment	beyond local r	outine
	requirements.				
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-spec	cific forms?		√ Yes	□ No
(4)	Do the plans have information regarding communication a agencies, Division and headquarters?	and coordination with othe	er Areas, allied	☑ Yes	□ No
(5)	Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?			☑ Yes	☐ No
200000000000000000000000000000000000000					

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CH	IP 453F	(Rev	r. 6-06) OPI 009	- V Interview Control	o-universal in			
		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	in the command	s EAP?)	☑ Yes	☐ No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.				☑ Yes	□ No
		(c)	Fires.				☑ Yes	□ No
-	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	(d)	Flood/dam failures.	THE PARTY OF THE P			☑ Yes	□ No
	(e) Radiation incidents.						☑ Yes	□ No
		(f)	Earthquakes.			31 - 3 - 4 - 3 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4	☑ Yes	□ No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.				☑ Yes	☐ No
		(h)	Civil unrest.				☑ Yes	☐ No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.				☑ Yes	□ No
_		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	,,			☑ Yes	☐ No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	and other emerge	ncy pro	ocedures is required.	☑ Yes	☐ No
yre-node.	(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	ation:				
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.		- (n) - (n		☑ Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emerge	ency se	rvice providers.	☑ Yes	☐ No
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers	onnel and mater	al reso	urces.	√ Yes	☐ No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.				√ Yes	□ No
	(7)	Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?				☑ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban				☑ Yes	□ No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?			7.7.	☑ Yes	☐ No
		(c)	Are they current?				☑ Yes	☐ No
		(d)	Do they work?				☑ Yes	⊡ No
	(8)	Doe	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?				☑ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 5 Operations Manual, or local plans?				☑ Yes	☐ No
2.	TRAIN	ING		EVALUATED X		ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
	a. Is t	here	an awareness of local training requirements?	1			☑ Yes	□ No
	b. Ha	vе ге	quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and t	use ICS in Area e	merge	ncies?	☑ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Hav	re other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(2)		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incide ptance?	ent commanders	and th	eir role in mission	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(3)	Hav	re managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3	, Emer	gency Incident Guide?	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(4)	ls H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?				☑ Yes	☐ No
			CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	VIII - CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	ALCOHOLD TO DESCRIPTION			

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHF	453R	(Rev. 6-06) OPI 009					
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS form	ms and their use?		☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receive emergency-related skills?	ed specialized training o	r possess special	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haza Departmental Training Manual?	l by HPM 70.13,	☑ Yes	□No		
	(a) Are the records of required training current?					☐ No	
C	c. Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?					☐ No	
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pub providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	olic safety agencies and	emergency service	☑ Yes	□ No	
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ers attended Area trainin	g to discuss their	☑ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agend	cies and EMS providers?	>	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	☑ Yes	□ No	
3. F	RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
a	Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?					□ No	
	(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?					□ No	
	(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?					☐ No	
b		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervison their counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good wo	rking relationships	☑ Yes	□ No	
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relat	ed committees, organiza	ations, or councils?	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to co	onduct mutual aid contin	gency planning?	√ Yes	∏ No	
С		s the command developed written emergency incident plans t sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to e	•	se of departmental	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency I Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planni			√ Yes	□ No	
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agenci	es who have EIM respoi	nsibility?	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(3)	Are existing plans current?	Allowers of the control of the state of the		☑ Yes	□ No	
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?		•	☑ Yes	□No	
	(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?					□ No	
4. R	EPOF	TING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
а.		reporting and documentation requirements for emergency in nagers, and supervisors?	cidents understood by the	he Area commander,	√ Yes	□ No	
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report o	f Unusual Occurrence?		☑ Yes	[] No	

AREA MANAGEMENT	EVALUATION
EMERGENCY INCIDENT	MANAGEMENT PLANNING
CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009	

0111	0071	11100	. 0-00) 011 009				
	(2)	Are	re major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			√ Yes	☐ No
	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?			☑ Yes	☐ No		
		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407	E) prepared?		☑ Yes	□No
***************************************	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?				☑ Yes	□ No	
5. EN	1ER	GEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а.	List	t prol	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM.	The Area has had only v	very minor issues that were	able to be res	olved at the
	tim	e of	occurrence and has not necessitated the need for fur	rther action.	A MINISTRAL MARKET		
	(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent	recurrences of problem	ns?	☑ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of	f-command?	11. 114. 111. 11.	☑ Yes	☐ No
		(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes i	made to Area plans?	- A-44	☑ Yes	☐ No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	warded through the cha	in-of-command?	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(2)	Hav	ve there been repeated problems with specific indivi	duals or agencies?		☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts	s to resolve the issues?		☑ Yes	☐ No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?				Yes	☐ No	

5.a.(2)(b) - Problems have been resolved at the lowest level of occurrence and have not necessitated the need for further action.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
Merced	Central		
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
C. Heller, Sergeant		09-10-2008	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EV		and Franking	SUSPENSE DATE		
<u> </u>	nal Evaluation Inform	mal Evaluation	CENTRAL PERIOD POSTERIA	laire	
[] Yes		☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	DATE	4
1 1162	□ N0	BY		a (2°	s com
1. EME	RGENCY INCIDENT MANA	GEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	Yes ACTION	N REQUIRED CORRECTE	D
a. Aı	re Area employees familiar	with various departmental public	ations which provide for EIM plan	nning?	□No
as	pes the Area commander h soutlined in HPM 50.1, Eme vil Disturbance Planning ar	ave a clear understanding of the ergency Incident Management Pl ed Operations Manual?	Department's philosophy and po anning Operations Manual, and	licy for EIM HPM 50.5, ☑ Yes	□No
(1)) Is this philosophy convey	red to:			
	(a) Subordinates.			✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Public safety agenci	es.		✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Emergency service p	providers.		✓ Yes	□ No
c. Is	an employee assigned to d	evelop and routinely update EIM	plans?	✓ Yes	□ No
(1)	Is the employee familiar	with local resources and condition	ns?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2)	Is input obtained from un	iformed and nonuniformed perso	nne!?	✓ Yes	□ No
(3)	Is there adequate liaison	with emergency response and s	upport agencies?	✓ Yes	□ No
d. Ha	ive emergency incident plai	ns been evaluated?		✓ Yes	□ No
(1)	Do plans include comma	nd-specific information?		✓ Yes	□ No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear	statement of their purpose and o	bjectives?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Is there an assignme	nt of responsibility commensurat	te with appropriate authority?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Are there checklists t	to assist in implementing the plan	ns?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there a method for	notifying off-duty personnel?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(d) What methods are us	sed for acquiring necessary supp	lies and equipment?		
	Area resources, Div	ision resources with past and fut	ure evaluations.		
	A1				
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS	and CHP and/or command-spec	ific forms?	✓ Yes	□ No
(4)	Do the plans have information agencies, Division and he	ation regarding communication a adquarters?	nd coordination with other Areas	s, allied	□No
(5)	Are there plans for hazard	1-specific incidents?			□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?					□No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Fires.			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.			✓ Yes	□No
	(f)	Earthquakes,				□No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(h)	Civil unrest.			✓ Yes	□No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			✓ Yes	□No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	nd other emergency pro	cedures is required.	☐ Yes	☑ No
(6)) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information	ation:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area a	and other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□ No
	(c)	(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.				□ No
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			✓ Yes	□No
(7)	Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance			Yes	□No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c)	Are they current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(d)	Do they work?			✓ Yes	□No
(8)	Doe	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict v Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			✓ Yes	□ No
2. TRAIN	ling		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a. Is t	there	an awareness of local training requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
b. Ha	ve red	quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Hav	e other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission acceptance?					□No
(3)	Hav	e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the u	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	ls H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

-		. (
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?		□ No			
	(6)	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?					
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70 Departmental Training Manual?).13, ☑ Yes	□No			
		(a) Are the records of required training current?		□ No			
	c. Ha	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?	✓ Yes	□ No			
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	service Yes	□No			
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss role?	their	□No			
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?	✓ Yes	□No			
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?	✓ Yes	□No			
3.	RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES Yes No	IRED CORRECTE	Ö			
		es the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriffs offices, polic partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?	æ 📝 Yes	□No			
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?	✓ Yes	□No			
	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	✓ Yes	□ No			
		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relation In their counterparts in allied agencies?	nships Yes	□No			
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or col	uncils?	□No			
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency plann	ing? 🗸 Yes	□No			
	c. Has	s the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departr sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?	nental ☑ Yes	□No			
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?		□No			
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility?		□No			
	(3)	(3) Are existing plans current?					
	(4)	(4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision?					
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?		□No			
	(6)	(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?					
4.	REPOF	RTING PROCEDURES POSEDURES POSEDURES	DORRECTS COM	מ			
i	a. Are mai	mander, √ Yes	□No				
	(1)	 ✓ Yes	□No				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2)	(2) Are major state route dosures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			✓ Yes	□No	
(3)	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?					□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?					□ No
	(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills the County Board of Supervisors and the County	✓ Yes	□No		
5. EMER	GEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Lis	t problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. The Area has not experienced any problems.					
(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to preve	s?	Yes	□No	
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command? (b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?					□No
						□No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command?					□No
(2)	(2) Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies? (a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?					□No
						□No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?				☐ Yes	□No

Memorandum

Date:

September 10, 2008

To:

Merced Area

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Merced Area

File No.:

460.10289

Subject:

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION; AREA EMERGENCY INCIDENT

MANAGEMENT PLANNING.

In accordance with HPG 22.1, an informal evaluation of Chapter 16, Area Emergency Incident Management Planning was conducted. The evaluation did not identify a need for any corrective action.

C. HELLER, ID 10289

Sergeant

CH 16

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

Los Banos
EVALUATED BY
00 00 3000

AREA

Central NUMBER
46 1

M. Hagerman

10/20/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION	SUSPENSE DATE			
☐ Formal Evaluation ☑ Informal Evaluation	10/31/2008			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
Outdood Nepolt	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	. /	,	
☐ Yes ☑ No BY	WENGTHON	WEN	12/201	108
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	Yes Yes	actiquatequired No	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publication	ns which provide for EIN	1 planning?	☑ Yes	□ No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the Depas outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Plann Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	partment's philosophy ar ning Operations Manual,	nd policy for EIM and HPM 50.5,	☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:	Name of the state			
(a) Subordinates.			☑ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.			☑ Yes	☐ No
(c) Emergency service providers.			☑ Yes	☐ No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM plar	ns?		☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personnel	?	1 20/1000	☑ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and suppo	ort agencies?		☑ Yes	☐ No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and objecti	ives?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate wit	th appropriate authority?	,	☑ Yes	☐ No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans?			☑ Yes	□ No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplies a	and equipment?			
The methods utilized are based upon past evaluations of en	mergency incidents and	anticipated needs of t	luture incide	nts. Area
and Division resources are utilized.		=000112		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific for	rms?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and co- agencies, Division and headquarters?	ordination with other Are		√ Yes	□ No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?		[√ Yes	□ No
				~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 433K (KeV. 6-06) UPI 009						
(a) Are there employee and property protection referen	ces in the command's EA	IP?	√ Yes	☐ No		
(b) Bomb incident procedures.	Marie of the contraction of the		√ Yes	☐ No		
(c) Fires.			☑ Yes	□ No		
(d) Flood/dam failures.		ADA - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	☑ Yes	□No		
(e) Radiation incidents.	1 (6)		☑ Yes	□ No		
(f) Earthquakes.			☑ Yes	□ No		
(g) Tsunamis/coastal storms.			☐ Yes	☑ No		
(h) Civil unrest.	1127.4.100074	ALL X STORING PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADD	☑ Yes	□ No		
(i) Other Area-specific emergencies.			√ Yes	□No		
(j) Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.	May and the season of the seas		☑ Yes	☐ No		
(k) For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire	, and other emergency p	rocedures is required.	Yes	☑ No		
(6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following infor	mation:			<u> </u>		
(a) Emergency Response Center Operations.	£	30.00 H. C.	☑ Yes	□ No		
(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency s	ervice providers.	√ Yes	□ No		
(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, per	sonnel and material reso	ources.	☑ Yes	☐ No		
(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation.	(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation.					
(7) Does the need for each plan still exists?	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1 and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbar	, Emergency Incident Ma nce Planning and Operat	anagement Planning tions Manual?	☑ Yes	□ No		
(b) Can plans be tested?			☑ Yes	□ No		
(c) Are they current?			☑ Yes	□ No		
(d) Do they work?			☑ Yes	□ No		
(8) Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			☑ Yes	☐ No		
(a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?	with HPM 50.1, Emerge 0.5, Civil Disturbance Pl	ency Incident anning and	☑ Yes	□No		
2. TRAINING	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	*************		
a. Is there an awareness of local training requirements?			√ Yes	□ No		
b. Have required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	se ICS in Area emerger	ncies?	☑ Yes	☐ No		
(1) Have other Area employees received familiarization training	☑ Yes	☐ No				
(2) Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incide acceptance?	ent commanders and the	eir role in mission	☑ Yes	☐ No		
(3) Have managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the u	☑ Yes	□ No				
(4) Is HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			☑ Yes	□ No		
		Constant in the last of the la				

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

-							
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS	forms and their use?		☑ Yes	☐ No	
(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?						□ No	
***********	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in he Departmental Training Manual?	azardous materials requ	ired by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	☐ No	
		(a) Are the records of required training current?	3 (6 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		☑ Yes	☐ No	
С.	☑ Yes	□ No					
*****	(1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?						
	(2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role?						
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these age	encies and EMS provide	s?	√ Yes	☐ No	
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to al	ll participants?		☑ Yes	□ No	
3. RE	LATIO	ONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED		
a.	Does depar	the commander maintain a working relationship with pers rtments, stale and county traffic engineers and highway d	sonnel from local sheriff epartment personnel?	s offices, police	☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?						
((2) Is	the commander a member of emergency organizations?			☑ Yes	□ No	
b. [b. Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies?						
(1) Aı	re lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-rela	ted committees, organiz	ations, or councils?	☑ Yes	☐ No	
(2	2) Ha	ave Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to c	onduct mutual aid conti	ngency planning?	✓ Yes	□ No	
c. H	las the	e command developed written emergency incident plans nel and material resources in multi-agency responses to	to provide for effective ι emergency incidents?	ise of departmental	☑ Yes	□No	
(1	(1) Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?						
(2) We	ere the plans developed in coordination with allied agenci	es who have EIM respo	nsibility?	☑ Yes	☐ No	
(3)	(3) Are existing plans current?						
(4)	(4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision?						
(5)	(5) Do plans conform to CHP policy?						
(6)	(6) Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?						
REPO	REPORTING PROCEDURES EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No						
a. Are ma	Are reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, managers, and supervisors?					□ No	
(1)	(1) Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of Unusual Occurrence?					□ No	
		D. A.					

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

						-
(2)) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?				🛛 Yes	□ No
(3)	(3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual?				☑ Yes	□ No
-	(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E)	prepared?	10	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?					□No
5. EMERC	3EN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. List problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. The Los Banos Area has not experienced any problems.						
(1) Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?					☐ Yes	☐ No
(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?					Yes	☐ No
(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?					Yes	□ No
(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command?					Yes	☐ No
(2) Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?				☐ Yes	☐ No	
(8	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?				Yes	☐ No
(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?				Yes	☐ No	

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
464	401	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Acting Sgt. S. I	JOSO	11/07/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

Torri dan se completed in peri of perion, and the dapplement	out be nationalities	ili desiled.		
TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIE		DATE 12/1/	108
☐ Yes ☑ No BY	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
1 EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	Yes	No	N/A	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental public	cations which provide	e for EIM planning?	✓ Yes	☐ No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management F Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?			☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:				
(a) Subordinates.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.				☐ No
(c) Emergency service providers.			✓ Yes	☐ No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM	// plans?		√ Yes	☐ No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and condition	ons?		√ Yes	☐ No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed pers	onnel?		√ Yes	☐ No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and	support agencies?		☑ Yes	☐ No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?			☑ Yes	□ No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and	objectives?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensur	ate with appropriate	authority?	✓ Yes	☐ No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the pla	ans?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary sup	plies and equipmen	t?		
The normal requisition process, credit card when all	lowed; emergency re	equisition when necessary.		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-spe	cific forms?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication agencies, Division and headquarters?	and coordination wit	th other Areas, allied	☑ Yes	☐ No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?			☑ Yes	☐ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

CHIP 43	21/11/6	v. 0-00) OF 1 009				
	(a)	Are there employee and property protection references	s in the command's EAP'	?	☑ Yes	□ No
	(b)	Bomb incident procedures.			☑ Yes	□No
	(c)	Fires.			☑ Yes	□No
	(d)	Flood/dam failures.			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(e)	Radiation incidents.			☑ Yes	□No
	(f)	Earthquakes.			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.			Yes	☑ No
	(h)	Civil unrest.			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.			☑ Yes	□ No
	(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			Yes	☑ No
	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire,	and other emergency pro	ocedures is required.	Yes	□ No
(6	3) Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following inform	nation:			
	(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.			☑ Yes	□ No
	(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency se	rvice providers.	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.			☑ Yes	☐ No	
	(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.			☑ Yes	☐ No
(7) Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?			☑ Yes	□ No
	(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban			☑ Yes	□ No
	(b)	Can plans be tested?			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(c)	Are they current?			☑ Yes	□ No
	(d)	Do they work?			☑ Yes	☐ No
(8)) Doe	es the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 5 Operations Manual, or local plans?			√ Yes	□No
2. TRAII	NING		EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED N/A	
a. Is	there a	an awareness of local training requirements?			☑ Yes	☐ No
b. Ha	ave rec	quired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and	use ICS in Area emerger	ncies?	☑ Yes	☐ No
(1)	Hav	e other Area employees received familiarization training	in ICS?		☑ Yes	☐ No
(2)		Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incidentance?	ent commanders and the	eir role in mission	☑ Yes	□ No
(3)	Have	e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	gency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	☐ No
(4)	Is HI	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			☑ Yes	☐ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CH	→ 453F	R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	rms and their use?		☑ Yes	☐ No
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receivement of employees who have receivement of the control	ved specialized training	or possess special	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haz Departmental Training Manual?	ardous materials requir	ed by HPM 70.13,	☑ Yes	□No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?			☑ Yes	☐ No
- (c. Ha	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of purpoon providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	rblic safety agencies an	d emergency service	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ve public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their e?			
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agen	ncies and EMS provider	s?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?		☐ Yes	☑ No
3. F	RELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	Yes Yes	NO REQUIRED	CORRECTED N/A	
а	ı. Do dep	es the commander maintain a working relationship with perso partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway de	onnel from local sheriff epartment personnel?	s offices, police	☑ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county ar Services personnel?	nd regional state Office	of Emergency	☑ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?			☐ Yes	☑ No
b		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervis n their counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good w	orking relationships	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-rela	ted committees, organi	zations, or councils?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to c	onduct mutual aid cont	ingency planning?	☐ Yes	☑ No
С		the command developed written emergency incident plans sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to		use of departmental	☑ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plann			☑ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agenc	ies who have EIM resp	onsibility?	☑ Yes	□Nö
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			☑ Yes	☐ No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			☑ Yes	☐ No
		Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	with HPM 50.1, Emerg	ency Incident	☑ Yes	☐ No
4. R	EPOR	TING PROCEDURES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED N/A	
a.		reporting and documentation requirements for emergency ir agers, and supervisors?	ncidents understood by	the Area commander,	√ Yes	
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report o	of Unusual Occurrence	?	☑ Yes	☐ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

-		_					
	(2)	Are	major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Re	porting of Highwa	ay Conditions?	✓ Yes	□ No
			rardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 8 dent Management Manual?	4.2, Hazardous N	Materials Transportation and	☑ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) p	repared?		☑ Yes	□ No
		(b)	Are there written procedures for reporting spills, release the County Board of Supervisors and the County Healt		of hazardous material to	√ Yes	□ No
5. EM	ERG	ENC	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	corrected N/A	
a.	List	orob	lems Area experienced in exercising EIM. None				
	(1)	Has	follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recur	rences of proble	ms?	Yes	☐ No
	((a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-com	mand?		☐ Yes	□No
	((b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made	to Area plans?		☐ Yes	□ No
	((c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwards	d through the ch	ain-of-command?	Yes	□ No
((2) l	lave	e there been repeated problems with specific individuals	or agencies?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to re	esolve the issues	9?	Yes	□No
	(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as r	equired?		Yes	☐ No

The Emergency Action Plan, Emergency Operations Plan, and Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) have been updated and are consistent with the facilities level of responsibilities.

Section 5, questions a.(1) a,b,c and 2 (a) and (b) are non-applicable.

Section 3 (c) The Chowchilla River Inspection Facility is included in the written Emergency Incident Plan between the Merced Area and the Merced County S.O.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

HP 453R	(Rev	6-06)	OPLOC	19
7111 4001	(1704.	0-007	OFTO	13

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER 174
Modesto	Central	465-08-006
EVALUATED BY		DATE
C. R. Mahnke, Jr		12/11/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

ionn can be completed in pen of pencil, and the Supplement cal	i be nandwillen il desired.		
TYPE OF EVALUATION	SUSPENSE DATE		
Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	03/31/2009 COMMANDER'S REVIEW	DATE	
Correction Report	OSMIN MIDELIO NEVIENT		
	I who was	12	19.08
I. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED ACTION RE		CTED
	12/11/2008 Yes (see		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publicati	ons which provide for EIM plannin	ıg? 🔽 Ye	s 🗌 No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the D			
as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Plar Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	ning Operations Manual, and HPI	M 50.5, ☑ Ye	s 🗌 No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:			
(a) Subordinates.		☑ Ye	s 🗌 No
(b) Public safety agencies.		☑ Ye	s 🗌 No
(c) Emergency service providers.		☑ Ye	s 🗌 No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM pl	ans?	☐ Ye	s 🔽 No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions	?	☐ Ye	s 🔽 No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personn	el?	☐ Ye	s 🔽 No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and sup	port agencies?	¹ □ Ye	s 🔽 No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?		☐ Ye	s 🔽 No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?		☐ Ye	s 🗹 No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and obje	ectives?	☐ Ye	s 🗹 No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate authority?	☐ Ye	s 🔽 No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans?	?	☐ Ye	s 🗹 No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?		☐ Yes	s 🗹 No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplie	s and equipment?		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific	forms?	☐ Yes	s 🔽 No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and	coordination with other Areas, all		
agencies, Division and headquarters?		Yes	
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?	1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Yes	S 🔽 No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(4)	ls HP	² G 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?			☑ Yes	□ No
			managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the u	use of HPG 50.3, Emerg	gency Incident Guide?	☑ Yes	□ No
		acce	rea personnel understand their responsibilities as incidentance?		2.00	☑ Yes	□ No
			e other Area employees received familiarization training		- True	☑ Yes	□ No
b.	Hav	e req	uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and u	use ICS in Area emerger	ncies?	✓ Yes	☐ No
a. ——	is th	ere a	n awareness of local training requirements?			☑ Yes	□ No
TR	AINI	NG		12/11/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
-	¥	(a)	Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50 Operations Manual, or local plans?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?			Yes	☑ No
		(d)	Do they work?			Yes	☑ No
		(c)	Are they current?			Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?		- D3 - 41-	Yes	☑ No
¥ -113-1		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban			☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(7)	Doe	es the need for each plan still exists?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.		-15-09	 Yes	✓ No
		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, pers			 Yes	 ☑ No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area	and other emergency se	ervice providers.	Yes	☑ No
-	(0)		Emergency Response Center Operations.	nation.		Yes	☑ No
	(6)	(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, plans have supporting annexes with the following inform		ocedures is required.		<u> </u>
		(j) 	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.			Yes ☐ Yes	☑ No ☑ No
-		(i) 	Ofher Area-specific emergencies.			Yes	☑ No
-		(h)				✓ Yes	□ No
_		(g)		1110		☐ Yes	☑ No
_		(f)	Earthquakes.			✓ Yes	☐ No
		(e)	Radiation incidents.	3 1 3 V3 W		Yes	☑ No
-		(d)) Flood/dam failures.			✓ Yes	□ No
one-		(c)	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			✓ Yes	□ No
(b) Bomb incident procedures.			√ Yes	☐ No			
		(a	Are there employee and property protection reference	es in the command's EAF		√ Yes	☐ No
CHP	453	K (Ke	v. 6-06) OPI 009				

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP	453R	(Rev. 6-06) OPI 009	+			
	(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	ms and their use?	*1	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receivement emergency-related skills?	ved specialized training	or possess special	☑ Yes	□ No
	(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haze Departmental Training Manual?	ardous materials require	ed by HPM 70.13,	☑ Yes	□ No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?			☑ Yes	☐ No
C.	Ha	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			☑ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pul providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	blic safety agencies and	emergency service	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ers attended Area traini	ng to discuss their	☑ Yes	⁵ ☐ No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agend	cies and EMS providers	?	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all p	participants?		☑ Yes	□ No
. Ri	ELAT	IONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	12/11/2008	Yes (see page 5)	CORRECTED	
a.		es the commander maintain a working relationship with perso artments, state and county traffic engineers and highway de		offices, police	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county an Services personnel?	d regional state Office o	f Emergency	☑ Yes	☐ No
	(2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?				☑ Yes	□No
b.		s the commander encourage Area lieutenants and superviso their counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good wo	rking relationships	☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils?				☑ Yes	□ No
	(2) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning?				☑ Yes	☐ No
C.	c. Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?				☐ Yes	☑ No
		Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency li Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plannii			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencie	es who have EIM respo	nsibility?	Yes	✓ No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			Yes	☑ No
	(5) I	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance w Management Planning and Operations Manual?	rith HPM 50.1, Emergen	cy Incident	☑ Yes	□No
RE	PORT	TING PROCEDURES	EVALUATED 12/11/2008	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
		eporting and documentation requirements for emergency incapers, and supervisors?	cidents understood by th	ne Area commander,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) <i>A</i>	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	Unusual Occurrence?		☑ Yes	☐ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009 √ Yes ☐ No (2) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions? (3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and √ Yes □ No Incident Management Manual? √ Yes ☐ No (a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared? (b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to √ Yes ☐ No the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer? CORRECTED ACTION REQUIRED EVALUATED 5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES 12/11/2008 The Modesto Area has not experienced problems in exercising EIM. a. List problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. ☐ Yes □ No (1) Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems? Yes □ No (a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command? ☐ No ☐ Yes (b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans? Yes ☐ No (c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command? Yes ☐ No (2) Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies? □ No Yes (a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?

☐ No

Yes

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPPLEMENT

CHP 454 (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

SUBJECT: Emergency Incident Manag	gement Planning DATE:	12/11/2009
SECTIONS	COMMENTS	
I. Emergency Incident Management	c d.(5) The Modesto Area does not currently have an Emergency Operations Plan	(EOP) or an
EMI) Planning	officer to develop and routinely update EMI plans. During the first quarter of 2009,	the special dut
	officer assigned to the training position will have as his/her responsibility the develo	pment of an
	Area-specific EOP and the requirement to update EIM programs pursuant to HPM 5	0.1, Emergen
	Incident Management Planning Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturband	ce Planning and
	Operations Manual.	
. Relationships With Allied Agencies	c. (1-6). The Modesto Area has an outstanding working relationship with allied age	ncies within
	Stanislaus County. Written emergency incident plans with these agencies have not b	een developed
	but will be addressed as required as a part of the Area-specific EOP.	
	The Modesto Area has a thorough Emergency Action Plan (EAP) for its facility and	employees
	as required by HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning Operations N	1anual.
	<i>x</i>	
	*	
14. F = 11. F = 11.		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Visalia	Central	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sgt. Tripp		09/30/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EV	ALUATION pal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE //~/· 0 8		CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE
FOLLOW-UP	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW Ltu M Kullu-		1.08
1. EMER	RGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	Yes Action required Yes Yes	CORRECTED	5 10/29
a. Ar	e Area employees familiar with various departmental publicatio	ns which provide for EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□No
as	pes the Area commander have a clear understanding of the De outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planr vil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Is this philosophy conveyed to:			
	(a) Subordinates.		✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Public safety agencies.		✓ Yes	□ No
	(c) Emergency service providers.		✓ Yes	□No
c, Is	an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM pla	ins?	✓ Yes	□ No
(1)	Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions?		☑ Yes	□ No
(2)	Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personne	al?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and supp	ort agencies?	✓ Yes	□ No
d, Ha	ve emergency incident plans been evaluated?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do plans include command-specific information?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and object	ctives?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate w	/ith appropriate authority?		□No
	(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans?		☑ Yes	□ No
	(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?	74	☑ Yes	□No
	(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplies	s and equipment? Maintain a minimum reserve	of supplie	s and
	equipment on hand within Area. Obtain from neighbor	ing CHP Area's, obtain from other State, Federa	al and loca	l agencies,
	use Cal Cards and utilize the X-number program.			
(3)	Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific	forms?	☑ Yes	□No
(4)	Do the plans have information regarding communication and agencies, Division and headquarters?	coordination with other Areas, allied	☑ Yes	□No
(5)	Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?		✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

2.11.					
(a) Are there employee an	d property protection references	in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
(b) Bomb incident procedu	ires.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Fires.			10/29	Yes	☑ No
(d) Flood/dam failures.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) Radiation incidents.				✓ Yes	□ No
(f) Earthquakes.				✓ Yes	□No
(g) Tsunamis/coastal storn	ns.			Yes	☑ No
(h) Civil unrest,				✓ Yes	□No
(i) Other Area-specific eme	ergencies.			✓ Yes	□No
(j) Terrorist attacks on prob	pable targets within an Area.			☑ Yes	□No
(k) For Areas with airports,	an EOP for airliner crash, fire, a	and other emergency prod	cedures is required.	l]Yes	☑ No
(6) Do plans have supporting a	nnexes with the following inform	ation:			
(a) Emergency Response	Center Operations.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Mutual aid plans and M	OU's developed between Area a	and other emergency ser	vice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Procedures for deploym	nent of, and accounting for, pers	onnel and material resou	rces.	✓ Yes	□No
(d) 72-hour self-sufficient of	peration.			✓ Yes	□No
(7) Does the need for each plan	still exists?			✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are plans up-to-date an and Operations Manual	d not in conflict with HPM 50.1, , and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturban	Emergency Incident Man ce Planning and Operation	agement Planning ons Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Can plans be tested?	100			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Are they current?				✓ Yes	□ No
(d) Do they work?				✓ Yes	□No
(8) Does the Area SOP contain	guidelines for EIM?	 	10/29	X Yes	☑ No
(a) Is that portion of the SC Management Planning a Operations Manual, or I	P up-to-date and not in conflict and Operations Manual, HPM 50 ocal plans?	with HPM 50.1, Emergen 0.5, Civil Disturbance Pla	cy Incident nning and	□Yes	☑ No
2. TRAINING		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a. Is there an awareness of local tra	aining requirements?			✓ Yes	□No
b. Have required employees been t	rained to initiate, maintain and ι	use ICS in Area emergen	cies?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Have other Area employees	received familiarization training	in ICS?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do Area personnel understa acceptance?	nd their responsibilities as incide	ent commanders and the	ir role in mission	Yes	□No
(3) Have managers, supervisors	s, and OICs been trained in the	use of HPG 50.3, Emerge	ency Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) is HPG 50.3, Emergency inc	sident Guide, readily available?		00111 1100-1	✓ Yes	□No
	10.00		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

-							
		(5)	Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS for	ms and their use?		✓ Yes	□No
		(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receive mergency-related skills?	possess special	✓ Yes	□No	
		(7)	Have employees been provided with annual training in haza Departmental Training Manual?	by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□ No	
			(a) Are the records of required training current?		✓ Yes	□No	
	c.	Has	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pub providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	olic safety agencies and e	mergency service	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service provide role?	ers attended Area training	to discuss their	☐Yes	☑ No
	((3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agence	cies and EMS providers?		✓ Yes	□No
	((4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all p	participants?		✓ Yes	□No
3.	REL	_AT	IONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTED	
	a. I	Doe dep	es the commander maintain a working relationship with perso artments, state and county traffic engineers and highway dep	nnel from local sheriff's o partment personnel?	ffices, police	☑ Yes	□No
	((1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and Services personnel?	d regional state Office of	Emergency	Yes	□No
	((2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?			✓ Yes	□No
			es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and superviso their counterparts in allied agencies?	ors to establish good work	king relationships	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relat	ed committees, organizat	ions, or councils?	Yes	☑No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to co	onduct mutual aid conting	ency planning?	Yes	✓No
			the command developed written emergency incident plans to sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to e		e of departmental	☑ Yes	□No
A	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency I Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planni			✓ Yes	□No
	(:	2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencie	es who have EIM respons	sibility?	✓ Yes	□No
2.11	(;	3)	Are existing plans current?		10/29	Yes	☑No
	(4	4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6		Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance vi Management Planning and Operations Manual?	vith HPM 50.1, Emergend	cy Incident	✓ Yes	□No
4.	REP	OR	TING PROCEDURES	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTEE)
			reporting and documentation requirements for emergency in agers, and supervisors?	cidents understood by the	e Area commander,	☑ Yes	□No
	('	1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of	f Unusual Occurrence?		☑ Yes	□No
_		_					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			□No
(3)	Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Incident Management Manual?	Materials Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?	g of hazardous material to	✓ Yes	□ No
5. EMER	GENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
a. Lis	t problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. In recent history there had n	not been any significant problems	experienc	ed in
EX	secising EIM. Any problems encountered have been minor in nature and ha	andled at the lowest possible leve	l.	
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problem	ems?	Yes	□No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?		Yes	□No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?		Yes	□No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the c	hain-of-command?	Yes	□ No
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?		Yes	□No
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?			□No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?		Yes	

See attached Summary.

1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING

- a. The Area Commander has a clear understanding of the Department's philosophy and policy with respect to emergency incident management. He conveys his philosophy during training days, at staff meetings and on a day to day basis when he discusses specific emergency incidents with the Field Operations officer and sergeants. In addition, the Commander is active in the Tulare County Police Chief's Association, where the subject of incident management is discussed as appropriate.
- c. The Visalia Area EIM coordinator is Sergeant Jeff Rhea. Sergeant Rhea has the ancillary responsibility to update and maintain the Area Emergency Action Plan (EAP), the Area Emergency Operations Plan (EOP), and the Area Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). Sergeant Rhea has reviewed and updated the EAP, and is currently in the process of conducting an annual review and reformat of the Area EOP.

Action Item: The annual review and reformat of the EOP should be completed by Sergeant Rhea, no later than November 1, 2008.

- d.2.d. A method used by Area to acquire necessary supplies and equipment is to first maintain a minimum reserve of supplies and equipment on-hand within the Area. Area will also be able to acquire supplies and equipment from neighboring CHP Area's and from other State, Federal and local agencies. When necessary, Area will utilize Cal Cards and the X-number program.
- d. 5.c. The Visalia Area encompasses mountainous terrain leading to the Sequoia National Forest, on the west side of Tulare County. The community of Three Rivers is located in this mountainous area. A hazardous-specific incident plan for fire should be incorporated into the Area EOP.

Action Item: A hazardous-specific incident plan for Wild Fires should be incorporated into the EOP by Sergeant Rhea, no later than November 1, 2008.

- d.5.g. The effect of a tsunamis/coastal storm is not likely to be experienced by Area.
- d.5.j. Terrorist attack on probable targets within Area is addressed in Area's hazardous-specific incident Flood/Dam Failure Plan.

- d.5.k. There is a small airport within the Visalia Area, located near State Route 198, at State Route 99. The airport currently does not offer commercial airline flights.
- d.6.b. During the month of August 2008, the Field Operations officer ensured all MOUs with other emergency service agencies received an annual review.
- d.8. The Area SOP does not address the issue of emergency incident management. However, current policy and law requires emergency incident management and associated operational issues be addressed in an Emergency Action Plan (EAP) and Emergency Operation Plan (EOP). Any discussion of this subject in the Area SOP should be limited to referencing the above mentioned documents.

Action Item: The Area SOP should be updated with guidelines for emergency incident management, with reference to the Area EAP and Area EOP. The update should be made by Sergeant Rhea, no later than November 1, 2008.

d.8.a. Refer to above comment.

2. TRAINING

- b.6. The Area Training Officer maintains the Employee Training Record System, which is an automated roster of employee training. The system is maintained daily and the training records are current.
- c.2. Other public safety agencies and emergency service providers do not attend Area training days to discuss their role in emergency incident management. Area personnel work with other public agencies and service providers on a daily basis and have a firm understanding of the roles and responsibilities of the other agencies/providers.
- c.3. The latest participation of Area personnel in an exercise with allied agencies was an active shooter exercise hosted by the Visalia Police Department.

Emergency Incident Management Planning Summary Page 3 September 30, 2008

3. RELATIONSHIP WITH ALLIED AGENCIES

- a. The Area's relationship with allied agencies continues at an outstanding level. The Area Commander meets regularly with the local agency heads and management level personnel from all Departments served by the Visalia Area.
- c.3. The existing plans are currently being updated by Area EOP coordinator, Sergeant Rhea. Refer to Section 1, Subsection c.

4. **REPORTING PROCEDURES**

a. The Area Commander, Field Operations officer and supervisors understand reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents as contained in General Orders 100.80 – Report of Unusual Occurrence, 100.46 – Major Route Closures, and Highway Patrol Manual 84.2 – Hazardous Materials Incident.

5. EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES

a. In recent history, there have not been any significant problems experienced in exercising EIM. Any problems encountered have been minor in nature and handled at the lowest possible level.

Memorandum

Date:

December 17, 2008

To:

Porterville Area

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Porterville Area

File No.:

481.12883

Subject:

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION - EMERGENCY INCIDENT

MANAGEMENT PLANNING

On December 17, 2008, I conducted an evaluation of the Porterville Area Emergency Incident Management Planning. Area's Emergency Operations Plan and Emergency Action Plans are up to date and in good order. The only deficiency found was the Area's Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) in regards to Emergency Incident Management. The Area SOP needs to be updated to include the proper contact information in case of an emergency incident.

Action plan: The Porterville Area's SOP is currently being reviewed and updated. When the SOP is updated it will contain the most current contact information.

L. E. McGUIRE, 1D 12883

Sergeant

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Porterville	Central	481
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sgt. L. McGuire, ID 12883		12/17/2008

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE	30 2000	ā:
EON OWNED BEOLIBED	COMMANDER SREVIEW	DATE	<i>I</i>
Correction Report	(Rowearinger	12/2	2/2008
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	•
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental publication	ons which provide for EIM planning?		□No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of the Deas as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Plan Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:			
(a) Subordinates.		✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.		✓ Yes	□No
(c) Emergency service providers.			□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update EIM pla	ans?	Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and conditions?	?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed personn	el?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and supp	port agencies?	✓ Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?		✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and obje	ectives?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensurate	with appropriate authority?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the plans?	?	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?		✓ Yes	□No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary supplie	s and equipment? Contact will be made	with local vendor	rs who's
facility is able to provide supplies and services. An 'X	'number or a purchase contract will be o	btained if possible	e. If not, a
line of credit will be established with the vendor until s	such time order is restored and payment o	can be made.	
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-specific	forms?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication and agencies, Division and headquarters?	coordination with other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?		✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

		(a)	Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Bomb incident procedures.		✓ Yes	□No
-		(c)	Fires.		✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Flood/dam failures		✓ Yes	□No
		(e)	Radiation incidents.		✓ Yes	□No
		(f)	Earthquakes.		✓ Yes	□No
		(g)	Tsunamis/coastal storms.		☐ Yes	✓ No
		(h)	Civil unrest.		✓ Yes	□No
		(i)	Other Area-specific emergencies.		✓ Yes	□No
		(j)	Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area.		✓ Yes	□No
		(k)	For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency products	edures is required.	Yes	□No
	(6)	Do	plans have supporting annexes with the following information:			
		(a)	Emergency Response Center Operations.		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency services	rice providers.	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resour	ces.	✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	72-hour self-sufficient operation.		√ Yes	□No
	(7)	Doe	s the need for each plan still exists?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Manand Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operation		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Can plans be tested?		Yes	□No
		(c)	Are they current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Do they work?		✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Doe	s the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?		✓ Yes	□No
			Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergent Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plan Operations Manual, or local plans?		□Yes	☑ No
2.	TRAIN	Local		ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	200
	a. Is ti	nere a	an awareness of local training requirements?		✓ Yes	□No
	b. Ha√	e rec	uired employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergenc	ies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Hav	e other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?		√ Yes	□No
	(2)		rea personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and thei ptance?	r role in mission	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have	e managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emerge	ncy Incident Guide?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	ls H	PG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?		√ Yes	□No
-						

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(5) Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?					✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Does the Area have a roster of employees who hemergency-related skills?	ave receiv	ed specialized training	or possess special	✓ Yes	□No
(7)	Have employees been provided with annual train Departmental Training Manual?	ing in haza	ardous materials require	ed by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are the records of required training current?					✓ Yes	☐ No
Has	s interagency training pertaining to EIM been condi	ucted?			✓ Yes	□No
(1)			blic safety agencies and	d emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency serv role?	ice provide	ers attended Area train	ing to discuss their	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with the	nese agend	cies and EMS providers	s?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?					✓ Yes	□No
LAT	IONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	- XV	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
				s offices, police	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with Services personnel?	county an	d regional state Office	of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organ	izations?			✓ Yes	□No
 Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies? 				orking relationships	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emerg	ency-relat	ed committees, organiz	zations, or councils?	☐ Yes	⊘ No
(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency person	onnel to co	onduct mutual aid conti	ngency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
				use of departmental	✓ Yes	□No
					✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with alli	ed agenci	es who have EIM respo	onsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Are existing plans current?				✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?		300000000000000000000000000000000000000		✓ Yes	□No
(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?				✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in acc Management Planning and Operations Manual?	cordance v	vith HPM 50.1, Emerge	ency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
		REPORTING PROCEDURES EVALUATED X				
_		= 1	Participation of the control of the	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED).
POR Are I		ergency in	x		CORRECTED V Yes	□No
	(6) (7) Has (1) (2) (3) (4) LAT Doe dep. (1) (2) Has pers (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who hemergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual train Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been cond. (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meet providers to explain the Department's role in EIM: (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency servicle? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with the departments with the providers to explain the Department's role in EIM: (4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given the commander maintain a working relationship departments, state and county traffic engineers and him to be shelf the maintain a working relationship with Services personnel? (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organ. Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and with their counterparts in allied agencies? (1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency incident personnel and material resources in multi-agency responsed to the command developed written emergency incident personnel and material resources in multi-agency responsed to the plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Encoperations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbation of the plans developed in coordination with allication and personnel plans current? (4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receive emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in haza Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of pulproviders to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providingly role? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agency are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all years. LATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES Does the commander maintain a working relationship with persodepartments, state and county traffic engineers and highway details of the commander and the personnel? (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervise with their counterparts in allied agencies? (1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-relative their counterparts in allied agencies? (2) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to contain the command developed written emergency incident plans to personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans to personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans to personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans to personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans to personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans to personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command of the personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command of the personnel and materi	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials requir Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies an providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area train role? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers (4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? LATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff: departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel? (1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office Services personnel? (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good with their counterparts in allied agencies? (1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organic Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incident Management in Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Ma (2) Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responses to plans provide for adequate supervision? (4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (1) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? (3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers? (4) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? LATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sherriffs offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel? (1) Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel? (2) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander and emergency organizations? Does the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies? (1) Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils? (2) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? (1) Are these plans in accordance with HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual; (2) Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? (3) Are existing plans current?	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? (7) Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? (b) Yes Has interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (c) Parea personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (d) Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? (e) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? (f) Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? LATIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED X Does the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriffs offices, police departments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel? (g) Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander a member of emergency organizations? Does the commander a member of emergency organizations? (g) Is the commander and a supervisors to establish good working relationships with their counterparts in allied agencies? (g) Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? (g) Yes Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civi

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

	(2)	Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?		✓ Yes	□No		
	(3)		zardous material spills and releases reported per HPM ident Management Manual?	84.2, Hazardous Ma	aterials Transportation and	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) p	orepared?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?			✓ Yes	□No	
5. I	MER	GEN	CY INCIDENT RESPONSES	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
ε	ı. List	prol	blems Area experienced in exercising EIM. None				
	(1)	Has	s follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recu	ırrences of problem	s?	☐ Yes	✓ No
		(a)	Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-con	nmand?		☐ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are problems corrected and appropriate changes mad	e to Area plans?		☐ Yes	□No
		(c)	Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forward	ed through the chai	n-of-command?	☐ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Hav	ve there been repeated problems with specific individual	s or agencies?		Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to	esolve the issues?		Yes	□No
		(b)	If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as	equired?		☐ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA DIVISION		NUMBER
Coalinga 495	Central	16
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sergeant Vander N	/let	07/18/08

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE		
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	DATE	
☐ Yes ☑ No	in any	101	15/pe
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED 07/18/2008	CORRECTED	0
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental put	blications which provide for EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of t as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:	•		
(a) Subordinates.		✓ Yes	□No
(b) Public safety agencies.		√ Yes	□No
(c) Emergency service providers.		✓ Yes	□No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update E	IM plans?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and condi-	tions?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed per	rsonnel?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response and	support agencies?	✓ Yes	□No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?		☑ Yes	□No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			□No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose and	l objectives?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commensu	rate with appropriate authority?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the pl	lans?	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel?		✓ Yes	□No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary su	pplies and equipment? Contact state contracted	vendors or wo	ork with the
Division X Number Coordinator to purchase neces	ssary supplies.		
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-spe	ecific forms?	✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communication agencies, Division and headquarters?	and coordination with other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?		✓ Yes	□No

EMERO	MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009		(3
	(a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Bomb incident procedures.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Fires.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Flood/dam failures.	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Radiation incidents.	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Earthquakes.	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Tsunamis/coastal storms.	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) Civil unrest.	✓ Yes	□No
	(i) Other Area-specific emergencies.		□No
	(j) Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area. SEE PAGE 4	Yes	☑ No
	(k) For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is required.	✓ Yes	□No
(6)	Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information:		((40)
	(a) Emergency Response Center Operations.	Yes	□No
	(b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers.	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources.	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation.	✓ Yes	□No
(7)	Does the need for each plan still exists?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Can plans be tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are they current?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(d) Do they work?	✓ Yes	□No
(8)	Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual, or local plans?	☑ Yes	□No

ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED EVALUATED 2. TRAINING 07/18/08 ✓ Yes ☐ No a. Is there an awareness of local training requirements? ✓ Yes ☐ No b. Have required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies? ✓ Yes ☐ No (1) Have other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS?

(2) Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission ✓ Yes ☐ No acceptance? (3) Have managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG-50.3, Emergency Incident Guide? ✓ Yes ☐ No ✓ Yes □ No (4) Is HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION FMFRGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

EMERGENCY	INCIDENT	MANAGEME
CHP 453R (Rev. 6	06) OPI 009	

Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS fo	rms and their use?		✓ Yes	□ No
) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?			✓ Yes	□No
Have employees been provided with annual training in haz Departmental Training Manual?	zardous materials requ	ired by HPM 70.13,	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Are the records of required training current?			✓ Yes	□No
as interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of purpoon providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	ublic safety agencies a	and emergency service	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role?(3) Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?		✓ Yes	□No	
		ers?	✓ Yes	□No
Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all	participants?		✓ Yes	□No
TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES	07/18/08	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	2
es the commander maintain a working relationship with pers partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway do	onnel from local sheri epartment personnel?	ff's offices, police	✓ Yes	□No
Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county a Services personnel?	nd regional state Offic	e of Emergency	✓ Yes	□No
Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?			Yes	☑ No
es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships		✓ Yes	□No	
Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-rela	ated committees, orga	nizations, or councils?	☐ Yes	☑ No
Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to o	conduct mutual aid co	ntingency planning?	✓ Yes	□No
Has the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents? (1) Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?			□No	
		√ Yes	□No	
		✓ Yes	□No	
Are existing plans current?				□No
Do plans provide for adequate supervision?			✓ Yes	□No
(4) Do plans provide for adequate supervision? (5) Do plans conform to CHP policy?		✓ Yes	□No	
Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	with HPM 50.1, Eme	rgency Incident	✓ Yes	□No
RTING PROCEDURES	07/18/08	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
		by the Area commande		
reporting and documentation requirements for emergency in agers, and supervisors?			✓ Yes	□No
	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have receiemergency-related skills? Have employees been provided with annual training in haz Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of purioriders to explain the Department's role in EIM? Have public safety agencies and emergency service provice role? Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agentare exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all providers. Set the commander maintain a working relationship with personatments, state and county traffic engineers and highway dispersively. The commander and the providers personnel? Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? The test commander and sergeants members of emergency-relations and sergeants members of emergency-relations and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed written emergency incident plans connel and material resources in multi-agency responses to the command developed in coordination with allied agency Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Plans were the plans developed in coordination with allied agency are existing plans current? Do plans provide for adequate supervision? Do plans conform to CHP policy? Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance Management Planning and Operations Manual?	Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required perimental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? s interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies a providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training? Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS provided Area exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? FIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES Set the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local shering partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel? Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office Services personnel? Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? The the commander and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organization to their counterparts in allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organization and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents and personnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents. Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emerge	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? sinteragency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers? Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? FIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES O7/18/08 O7/18/08 ACTION REQUIRED The commander maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel? Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Personnel maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel? Is the commander a member of emergency organizations? Personnel met with allied agencies? Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councilis? Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning? the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility? Are existing plans current? Do plans provide for adequate supervision? Do plans provide for adequate supervision? Do plans provide for ade	Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills? Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual? (a) Are the records of required training current? (b) Yes interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (c) Yes Interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted? (c) Yes Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM? Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role? Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers? Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants? POS AREA EXPLAINED AGENCIES POS AREA EXPLAINED AGENCIES AGENCIES POS AREA EXPLAINED AGENCIES POS AREA EXPLAINE

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(2)	(2) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials incident Management Manual?	Transportation and	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazard the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?	lous material to	✓ Yes	□No
5. EMER		ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Lis	st problems Area experienced in exercising EIM.			-
				E
(1)) Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?		✓ Yes	□No
5	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-co	mmand?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2)			Yes	☑ No
	(a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?	NA	Yes	□ No
	(b) If not resolved, has the Division chief been notified as required?	NA	☐ Yes	□ No

Page 2, 1.d.(5)(j) - The only potentially likely terrorist attack in the Coalinga Area would be compromising over crosses and under crosses on t-5. Protocol for checking 1-5 related structures are covered in emergency action plans for Earthquakes.

Page 3, 2.c. - Training between other law enforcement agencies, fire departments, and service providers such as P,G + E, Pool Energy Resources, etc. has occurred in recent years.

3.c.(6) - In HPM 50.1, under the Emergency Highway Traffic Regulation plan, Area has developed evacuation plans for assisted local communities with predesignated traffic control points.

Page 4, 5.a.(2)(a) through (b) - Both of these items are not applicable.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION	Fresno	Central	435	
EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING	EVALUATED 8Y		DATE	
CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009	D. Koetsier		11/28/200	
INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a chrom is used as a Correction Report, the "correction" box individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blacan be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evalua accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement	should be initialed and anks as indicated. If a ation Supplement. The problems or progress	d dated as deficiencies and dditional comments are no e Supplement should inc , and the evaluator's ove	ecessary, the lude significa	information nt findings,
TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIE	EW /	DATE	
☐ Yes ☑ No	5	11.5	121	30/08
BY_	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING	7.7.1.2	Yes	No	
a. Are Area employees familiar with various departmental pu	ublications which provide	e for EIM planning?	✓ Yes	□ No
b. Does the Area commander have a clear understanding of as outlined in HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Manageme Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	f the Department's philos nt Planning Operations I	sophy and policy for EIM Manual, and HPM 50.5,	✓ Yes	□ No
(1) Is this philosophy conveyed to:	4	The state of the s		
(a) Subordinates.		AL CONTRACT STREET	√ Yes	□ No
(b) Public safety agencies.	***.**	Name of the second second second	✓ Yes	□ No
(c) Emergency service providers.			✓ Yes	□ No
c. Is an employee assigned to develop and routinely update	EIM plans?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is the employee familiar with local resources and con	iditions?		√ Yes	□ No
(2) Is input obtained from uniformed and nonuniformed p	personnel?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Is there adequate liaison with emergency response a	nd support agencies?		✓ Yes	□ No
d. Have emergency incident plans been evaluated?			✓ Yes	□ No
(1) Do plans include command-specific information?			✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Do plans contain a clear statement of their purpose a	and objectives?		✓ Yes	□ No
(a) Is there an assignment of responsibility commen	surate with appropriate	authority?	✓ Yes	□ No
(b) Are there checklists to assist in implementing the	e plans?		✓ Yes	□ No
(c) Is there a method for notifying off-duty personnel	!?		✓ Yes	□ No
(d) What methods are used for acquiring necessary	supplies and equipmen	t?		
Quarterly requisitions, "X" number requests, de	epartmental credit card,	and adjoining CHP areas.	sexterior in tribute	
(3) Do the plans refer to ICS and CHP and/or command-	-specific forms?		✓ Yes	□ No
(4) Do the plans have information regarding communicate agencies, Division and headquarters?	tion and coordination wit	th other Areas, allied	✓ Yes	□ No

Destroy Previous Editions

c453r666.pdf

☐ No

Yes

(5) Are there plans for hazard-specific incidents?

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING CHP 453R (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009 □ No ✓ Yes (a) Are there employee and property protection references in the command's EAP? ☐ No ✓ Yes (b) Bomb incident procedures. ✓ Yes ☐ No (c) Fires. Mo (V) Yes (d) Flood/dam failures. ☐ No (e) Radiation incidents. ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ☐ No (f) Earthquakes. ☐ Yes No (g) Tsunamis/coastal storms. □No ✓ Yes (h) Civil unrest. ✓ Yes ☐ No (i) Other Area-specific emergencies. ✓ Yes ☐ No (j) Terrorist attacks on probable targets within an Area. (k) For Areas with airports, an EOP for airliner crash, fire, and other emergency procedures is required. ☐ Yes ✓ No (6) Do plans have supporting annexes with the following information: □ No √ Yes (a) Emergency Response Center Operations. (b) Mutual aid plans and MOU's developed between Area and other emergency service providers. ✓ Yes ☐ No Yes ☐ No (c) Procedures for deployment of, and accounting for, personnel and material resources. □ No (d) 72-hour self-sufficient operation. ✓ Yes ☐ No ✓ Yes (7) Does the need for each plan still exists? (a) Are plans up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual? √ Yes ☐ No ☐ No ✓ Yes (b) Can plans be tested? Yes ☐ No (c) Are they current? Yes ☐ No (d) Do they work? ☐ No (8) Does the Area SOP contain guidelines for EIM? ✓ Yes Is that portion of the SOP up-to-date and not in conflict with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and ☐ Yes ✓ No Operations Manual, or local plans? CORRECTED ACTION REQUIRED EVALUATED 2. TRAINING No No ☐ No ✓ Yes a. Is there an awareness of local training requirements? b. Have required employees been trained to initiate, maintain and use ICS in Area emergencies? □ No ✓ Yes □ No (1) Have other Area employees received familiarization training in ICS? Yes (2) Do Area personnel understand their responsibilities as incident commanders and their role in mission

Destroy Previous Editions

(3) Have managers, supervisors, and OICs been trained in the use of HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide?

□ No

☐ No

□ No

✓ Yes

✓ Yes

✓ Yes

acceptance?

(4) Is HPG 50.3, Emergency Incident Guide, readily available?

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

244				
	(5) Are managers and supervisors familiar with various ICS forms and their use?	✓ Yes	□ No
Januara.	(6) Does the Area have a roster of employees who have received specialized training or possess special emergency-related skills?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7	Have employees been provided with annual training in hazardous materials required by HPM 70.13, Departmental Training Manual?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are the records of required training current?	✓ Yes	□No
C	. На	as interagency training pertaining to EIM been conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1	Do area personnel attend and participate in meetings of public safety agencies and emergency service providers to explain the Department's role in EIM?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have public safety agencies and emergency service providers attended Area training to discuss their role?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do Area personnel participate in exercises with these agencies and EMS providers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Are exercise critiques conducted and feedback given to all participants?	✓ Yes	□No
3. R	ELA	TIONSHIPS WITH ALLIED AGENCIES EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED No	
a.		es the commander maintain a working relationship with personnel from local sheriff's offices, police partments, state and county traffic engineers and highway department personnel?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does he/she maintain a working relationship with county and regional state Office of Emergency Services personnel?	✓ Yes	□No
20111111111	(2)	Is the commander a member of emergency organizations?	✓ Yes	□No
b.		es the commander encourage Area lieutenants and supervisors to establish good working relationships h their counterparts in allied agencies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are lieutenants and sergeants members of emergency-related committees, organizations, or councils?	√ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Have Area personnel met with allied agency personnel to conduct mutual aid contingency planning?	Yes	□ No
C.		s the command developed written emergency incident plans to provide for effective use of departmental sonnel and material resources in multi-agency responses to emergency incidents?		□No
	(1)	Are those plans in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual, and HPM 50.5, Civil Disturbance Planning and Operations Manual?	☑ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were the plans developed in coordination with allied agencies who have EIM responsibility?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are existing plans current?	√ Yes	□No
	(4)	Do plans provide for adequate supervision?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(5)	Do plans conform to CHP policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
-17/	(6)	Has the command developed a written EAP in accordance with HPM 50.1, Emergency Incident Management Planning and Operations Manual?	✓ Yes	□ No
4. RE	POF	TING PROCEDURES EVALUATED YCS ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED No	
a.		reporting and documentation requirements for emergency incidents understood by the Area commander, agers, and supervisors?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Are unusual occurrences reported per GO 100.80, Report of Unusual Occurrence?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT PLANNING

(2) Are major state route closures reported per GO 100.46, Reporting of Highway Conditions?			□No
(3)	 (3) Hazardous material spills and releases reported per HPM 84.2, Hazardous Materials Transportation and Incident Management Manual? (a) Are Hazardous material incident reports (CHP 407E) prepared? 		□No
			□ No
	(b) Are there written procedures for reporting spills, releases, and dumping of hazardous material to the County Board of Supervisors and the County Health Officer?	✓ Yes	□No
5. EMER	SENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	No CORRECTED	
a. List	problems Area experienced in exercising EIM. Refer to page 7 and page 8, section 5.		
(1)	Has follow-up investigation been conducted to prevent recurrences of problems?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is the investigation forwarded through the chain-of-command?	☑ Yes	□ No
	(b) Are problems corrected and appropriate changes made to Area plans?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are corrected actions taken, documented, and forwarded through the chain-of-command?		□No
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(2)	Have there been repeated problems with specific individuals or agencies? (a) Has the Area commander made reasonable efforts to resolve the issues?	☐ Yes	☑ No □ No

On November 28, 2008, an audit of the Fresno Area Emergency Incident Management Planning was completed. Prior to completing CHP 453R, a review of HPM 50.1, HPM 50.3, and HPM 50.5 was completed. The Fresno Area Emergency Operation Plan (EOP), Area Emergency Action Plan (EAP), and Area Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) were also reviewed to confirm that all required information related to emergency incident management was properly documented.

1. EMERGENCY INCIDENT MANAGEMENT (EIM) PLANNING

a) Area Familiarity

- Area has taken appropriate measures to ensure all employees are familiar with the content and stored location of all emergency plans including Area specific plans and departmental plans. Information regarding the content and location of the plans has been given to Area employees during briefings, training days, and annual evaluations.

b) Development and Update

 The Area Occupational Safety Coordinator annually reviews the Area specific EIM plans. The plans are routinely updated and developed as needed.

c) Evaluation

- All Area EIM plans conform to CHP policy and are in accordance with appropriate Highway Patrol Manuals (HPM).
- The Area has several command-specific EIM plans. Due to the location of the Area's jurisdictional boundaries, a tsunamis/coastal storm plan has not been developed and has been determined to be unnecessary.
- The Area's EOP only contained general information regarding aircraft crashes and fires; therefore, a specific aircraft crash/fire plan was developed and added to the EOP.

The Area SOP contains three initial response squads for civil disturbance incidents. The SOP also contains planning information for similar incidents. However, the lists and planning information are not up-to-date.

Action Item: The supervisor assigned to developing and updating the Area SOP will be advised of the needed changes and tasked with updating the SOP.

2. TRAINING

a) Awareness

- Area Management and Supervision are actively involved in the Area EIM planning and training. The Area Commander utilizes the Area Occupational Safety Program, Area monthly staff meetings, and Area training forums to convey information and develop EIM plans and/or address training issues.
- Emergency Medical Service (EMS) providers and allied agencies participate in Area training forums and multi-agency emergency incident briefings/debriefings.

b) Records/Reporting

- Area employee training records are maintained utilizing the Employee Training Records System (ETRS), personnel folders, and a "hard copy" filing system. The CHP 712, Employee Emergency Action Plan Review, is completed twice annually during Area 4th quarter training and annual evaluations.
- Area emergency incidents and training exercises are documented quarterly in the Area Strategic Plan Report. The Strategic Plan Report is maintained on computer file along with debriefing items and after action reports.

c) Exercises

- Within the past year the Area participated in two major emergency incidents involving a fog-related, multi-vehicle collision with full freeway closures and a school lock down incident in the which the Area assisted an allied agency. The Area also conducted an emergency preparedness drill in conjunction with the Golden Guardian 2008 exercise.

3. RELATIONSHIP WITH ALLIED AGENCIES

a) Working Relationships

- The Area Commander is aware of the importance of establishing and maintaining good working relationships with allied agencies.

 The Area Commander also encourages all employees to establish good working relationships with their allied agency counterparts.
- The Area has had recent success in maintaining the good working relationships that currently exist with allied agencies.

4. <u>REPORTING PROCEDURES</u>

a) Evaluation

 A review of recent emergency incidents revealed the Area failed to properly report/make notifications following a recent evacuation of the Area office as a result of a possible facility fire.

Action Item: The deficiency will be presented to Area Management and Supervisors during an upcoming Area staff meeting in order to prevent any future occurrences.

 All other emergency incidents and all training exercises were properly reported and the appropriate notifications were made.

5. <u>EMERGENCY INCIDENT RESPONSES</u>

a) Problems when Exercising EIM

During a fog-related, multi-vehicle traffic collision, there was a lack of communication between CHP and the fire department creating a break down in the Incident Command System (ICS). The fire department commander did not communicate with on-scene CHP personnel and subsequently gave inaccurate information to news media.

Action Item: Due to an established and good working relationship with the fire department, the issue was addressed in a post incident meeting. The Area has not had any other communication problems with the fire department since the issue was addressed.

During the emergency preparedness drill in conjunction with the Golden Guardian 2008 exercise, several potential problems were noted regarding available resources, communications, traffic conditions, and employee readiness for deployment. Detailed descriptions of the problems were noted in the Golden Guardian 2008 Exercise After Action report.

Action Item: The Area's available resources (vehicles, extenders, extender batteries, etc.) can not sustain a full Area response to an incident such as the aforementioned exercise. The Area's Administrative Officer and Fleet Supervisor will be contacted to discuss the issue and develop solutions. Employees' readiness for deployment was delayed due to several of the employees not possessing "Go-Bags" or Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) at the Area office. The requirement to possess a "Go-Bag" and PPE will be addressed to employees during training days and briefings. A briefing item will be placed in a suspense file in order that employees may be re-briefed twice annually of the requirement. The issue will also be addressed in the Area SOP. The communication problem is currently being addressed by headquarters and the traffic problem relates to Southern Division highways not Area highways.

D. KOETSIER, #17090

Sergeant Fresno Area